

Julie Launstein mentioned that flexible financing exists that can be utilized for other services if not used for medication. Currently that funding does not show in our revenue because it is behind the scenes and does not flow through the board office. The balance can be moved over in April. Launstein reported conservatively estimates \$100,0090 would be available to add to revenue.

Unison has a proposal of a Bowling Green location. The question is location. Commissioners have land they can rent to us or provider agencies. Historically, the Board has not wanted to own a building. If you know of space or land available, please let Clemons know. There is money for capital expenditures but the grant money is more operational for two years. Lima eight beds is the only item set for sure. Lucas County is included in this region. Location of Bowling Green is key because of the corridor. Providers mentioned the need is there but are uncertain on if it's worthwhile and who is going to fund this in future if the need is not there. A member mentioned this location would seem appropriate on a hospital campus. Wood County Hospital is not an option for this. This is just information at this point, Clemons will be keeping everyone informed.

3. NEW BUSINESS

July and August Schedule of Bills, July Financials and FY 17 Final Expenditures Update: Julie Launstein reported the July and August schedule of bills were included in the packet. August financials are not ready to go yet. There were some unexpected computer issue expenditures in June, these are resolved now. Some pending items for FY 17 included bills from Pathstone, one from ProMedica, and a missing June invoice from Zepf which we have received these this week. Launstein reported expenses will total approximate \$400,000 over revenue for year. Considering our budgeted expenditures were two million over budgeted revenue) which is great news.

Board Budget Adjustments- The adjustments were in the Finance packet and a resolution will be included in the Board Packet. This is similar to what we did last year which is just moving between line items.

The OHMAS 2018 funding packet was included in packets. This explains where the money comes from in the budget and allowable expenditures.

FY 18 Board Projects Timelines- A memo was sent to all committee members that stated we will be working on system of care budget reductions and levy planning. In November we will discuss this more. This is our smaller levy 1M. There will possibly be a Board retreat in March focusing on levy planning and reductions in FY 19. Normally we don't meet in October since we have the Annual Dinner but we can if we need to. November will be reporting on reducing for FY 19, levy discussions would be February and March. To talk prior to this would be premature.

New Staff Position Descriptions and Table of Organization Proposals- Lorrie Lewandowski is retiring end of November. Her position description needs to be updated. Clemons wants to take the Manager of Clinical Programs and Quality Improvement. Outcomes position and Associate Director position description and integrated them into having a Director of Community Programs. The Board must have two employees equally qualified in the absence of the Executive Director according to the Board bylaws. Clemons recommends we do not have an Associate Director title anymore. There must be backup with these two positions and have clinical supervisor experience with a license requirement. The table of organization has three supervisor positions and then they are on the same line which are equivalent. Clemons will have something wrote up for the Board for approval for positions description at the Board

Meeting. This will be an early January hire. Clemons reported the pay would be similar for position . It was recommended that we use caution if we are asking agencies to make cuts. Clemons will look at this but feels we do need two full time positions. A Board packet will hopefully be out Friday and include the revised position description.

- 4. **Other** There is a legislative round table discussion tomorrow with Robert Sprague and Theresa Gaverone. This will be at the BCI Department at BGSU at 1 p.m. There will be presentations from Hancock County and have a panel from Wood County discussing what has been effective on Opioid Epidemic and new ideas. There will be a rapid fire two minute and one page on brand new ideas. The Prosecutor, JFS, provider agencies and Law Enforcement will all be represented. Please let Tom Clemons know if you plan on attending as he needs to give them a headcount.

PUBLIC: None to report.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:57 p.m.
The next Finance and Administration Committee Meeting: TBD

Submitted by Britni Fackler, Secretary

Approved by:


 Stan Korducki, Finance Committee Chair

9/25/17
 Date

Memo to: Members of the Wood County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board
From: Tom Clemons, Executive Director
Date: September 8, 2017
Regarding: Board Office Building ADA Concerns

At the Board meeting held on August 28, 2017, there was discussion about the new rental agreement for our office space for the current and following fiscal years. Questions were raised regarding building compliance with federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards for building access and restrooms. The Board acted to table the motion to approve the two-year rental agreement and to authorize the Executive Director to pay our rent for up to four months while the matter of ADA compliance was addressed.

At the Board staff meeting on August 29th, I asked Pamela Shumaker to investigate the building's ADA compliance. Pam arranged for ADA compliance determination by: Nick Foos (Wood County Department Director of Building & Grounds), David Maurer (our landlord) and Arlen de la Serna (Wood County Assistant Prosecutor and Chief of the Civil Division) as the Wood County Prosecutor is the Board's legal counsel. Mr. Foos inspected the building. Mr. Maurer also contacted the architect to inspect the building as well.

All sources unanimously agreed that the building meets all legal ADA requirements, due to the age of the building. If the building was newer, or if major modifications were made to the building, then the most current ADA standards would be required. Please see each of the individual attachments that indicate the building is in legal compliance.

- The first attachment is from email correspondence with David Maurer in October 2016 when this issue was originally brought to our attention.
- The second attachment is from Nick Foos who is the Department Head of Building & Grounds for the County offices, who inspected our building.
- The third attachment is from Arlen de la Serna, our legal counsel from the Prosecutor's office.
- The fourth attachment is from the architect David Maurer asked to inspect the building.

I met with Mr. Maurer on Thursday September 7th and I asked him if he would be willing to determine what needed to be done to meet the most current regulations and consider making such modifications. Mr. Maurer readily agreed to this request. He thought it might be a few weeks before he would know what he could do. He did come up with some practical solutions to come into compliance with the most current ADA standards. He will explore several options and will get back to us as soon as possible. It should be noted that vertical grab bars were installed in both bathrooms on September 7th. We hope we will have additional information by the September Board meeting.

I want to thank Pam Shumaker for her thoroughness and efficiency in gathering the relevant information.

Pamela J. Shumaker

From: David Maurer <david.maurer@greenbriarrentals.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 12:24 PM
To: Pamela J. Shumaker
Subject: Re: FW: Men's Restroom

Thank you Pam. I did have an architect review both bathrooms a couple years ago and I was told they were substantially in compliance with ADA codes at that time. I can revisit those bathrooms with the architect to see if there have been changes recently. Updating grab bars and toilets is probably something we can do without too much difficulty.

Thank you!

Dave

445 East Wooster Street
Bowling Green, Ohio 43402
p: 419-352-0717
f: 419-353-0577

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This transmission is intended only for the addressee shown above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, or use it, and do not disclose it to others.
Please notify the sender of the delivery error by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

"The content of this email may not be deemed an offer, counteroffer or acceptance until paper documents are mutually executed between the parties."

Pamela J. Shumaker

From: Foos, Nick <nfoos@co.wood.oh.us>
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 1:08 PM
To: Pamela J. Shumaker
Subject: ADA Restrooms

Hi Pam,

After doing a little digging, I found some information to back up my thoughts. Your restrooms are ok the way they are far as being ADA compliant. This was my initial thought, but I wanted to find some language to back that up. Just to put it in perspective, if you build a house in 1960, and the inspections for that time all pass and occupancy is granted, then that building would still be ok today as long as the following do not occur...

You make alterations to the restroom such as moving a toilet or sink to a different location,
You have a complete change of occupancy,
Or possibly... adding an addition to your building.

If any of the first 2 items would occur, the restrooms would have to be made 100% ADA accessible.
The third item would most likely make it a requirement to make the restroom 100% ADA accessible.

Now if the restrooms were only to be given a new look, like new floors or paint, then you would still be grandfathered in for ADA compliance.

You only have to make it 100% ADA compliant if major alterations are done.

If your landlord wanted to make it more user friendly for people with disabilities, that would be great, but he does not have to according to the code.

I think your building is pretty manageable for someone in a wheel chair having the ramps at both ends. The restrooms could be better, but I have seen worse.

I hope this helps. If you need to see any of the code, I printed off a couple of sheets that lay this out. I can drop them off to you.

Thanks,

Nick Foos

Pamela J. Shumaker

From: de la Serna, Arlen <adelaserna@co.wood.oh.us>
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 4:43 PM
To: Pamela J. Shumaker; Tom Clemons
Subject: RE: ADA Restrooms

Pam and Tom,

Nick is correct. The ADA law has a "safe harbor" provision. The dates are key, that's why I was asking about the building's construction date and any renovations made. In essence, this is the "safe harbor" provision:

any of a facility's elements built or altered before March 15, 2012, and that comply with the 1991 Standards do not need to be changed to satisfy the 2010 Standards. However, if an element already existed but didn't comply with the 1991 Standards prior to March 15, 2012, this element must be modified to meet the 2010 Standards to the extent readily achievable.

The applicable federal regulation is 28 C.F.R. 35.150(b)(2)(i). It states in relevant part:

(2)(i) Safe harbor. Elements that have not been altered in existing facilities on or after March 15, 2012 and that comply with the corresponding technical and scoping specifications for those elements in either the 1991 Standards or in the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS), Appendix A to 41 CFR part 101-19.6 (July 1, 2002 ed.), 49 FR 31528, app. A (Aug. 7, 1984) are not required to be modified in order to comply with the requirements set forth in the 2010 Standards.

I don't know what the 1991 (ADA Standards for Accessible Design) or the 2010 Standards (Current Enforceable Accessibility Standards) require. Nick Foos probably knows that as he is the building expert.

I hope this answers your question. Let me know if you have a follow-up question. Thanks.

Regards,
Arlen

Arlen B. de la Serna
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
Civil Division
Wood County Prosecutor's Office
1 Courthouse Square
Bowling Green, Ohio 43402
E-mail: adelaserna@co.wood.oh.us
Phone: 419-354-9250
Fax: 419-354-7627

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail, including any attachments, may contain information that is protected by law as privileged and confidential, and is transmitted for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying or retention of this e-mail or the information contained here is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify me by telephone or reply e-mail, and permanently delete this e-mail from your computer system. Thank you.



David B. Wilson
President

306 Industrial Parkway - Suite A
Bowling Green, Ohio 43402
419-353-3930 419-308-2526

September 1, 2017

Greenbriar, Inc.
445 East Wooster Street
Bowling Green, Ohio 43402
ATTN: David Maurer

RE: Field Narrative Report following verification of the building's existing common restrooms (men's and women's) as to current ADA compliance requirements at 745 Haskins Road (Haskins Landing Complex), Bowling Green, Ohio 43402.

David,

I conducted an on-site visit on September 1, 2017 at 10:30am to verify the existing conditions and geometry of the existing building's common restroom facilities and their orientation of fixtures per current ADA and OBC Code requirements and allowable exceptions. The following information and data reflects my findings and your considered elective added adjustments/components to the restrooms to maintain compliance. Also, this building will maintain similar tenants and not have any change of use conditions.

The existing restrooms are not being renovated and can remain in place, in use as is. The geometry of both restroom spaces allow for proper room to maneuver a wheelchair, at least one water closet per restroom has grab bars and a 3' partition door opening. The owner plans to install an 18" vertical grab bar per code dimensions/height at each water closet at this time and may insulate the lavatory water lines and drains as well. The restrooms do not need to be altered or changed for any compliance reasons/requirements at this time as per code/exceptions of Sections 3401 (existing buildings/structures), 3401.2 (maintenance), 3404.1 (alterations), 3405.1 (repairs), 3412.1 (compliance alterations) 2011 Edition OBC since no renovation or remodeling is planned or taking place.

Thus, the current existing restrooms are ADA acceptable and compliant.

Respectfully,

David B. Wilson, President
S2F Corp.